

CHIEF SECRETARIAT

Dated 15-17th December 1945.

No. W. 1132—W. 1.45-27. The following Press Communiqués and notifications of the Government of India are published for general information.

1. Commerce Department Press Communiqué dated the 10th November 1945.
2. Commerce Department Press Communiqué dated the 13th November 1945.
3. Defence Department Notification No. 43-DC—45, dated the 2nd November 1945.
4. Commerce Department Notification No. 93 (29)-ET. (A)—45, dated the 17th November 1945.

4042

V. VEDAVYASACHARYA, *Chief. Secy.*

PRESS COMMUNIQUE.

Private Trade with Italy and Finland.

In accordance with similar action taken by His Majesty's Government in the United Kingdom, the Government of India have authorised persons and concerns in British India to carry on private trade with Italy and Finland subject to the existing export, import and financial regulations in force in this country.

Commerce Department,
New Delhi, 10th November 1945.

PRESS COMMUNIQUE.

Status of Japan and Thailand under Enemy Trading and Enemy Property Regulations.

The Central Government have issued a notification under the Defence of India Rules declaring Japan and Thailand as enemy territories for purposes of Enemy Trading and Enemy Property Regulations. It is accordingly an offence under the said rules to carry on any commercial, financial or other intercourse or dealings with persons and concerns in these countries.

Commerce Department,
New Delhi, November 13th 1945.

EXTRAORDINARY.

Government of India.

DEFENCE DEPARTMENT.
New Delhi, the 2nd November 1945.

Notification.

No. 43-DC—45—In pursuance of sub-clause (b) of clause (2) of rule 2 of the Defence of India Rules, the Central Government is pleased to notify the areas specified in the first column of the schedule hereto annexed to be enemy territories for the purposes of the rules specified against them in the second column of the said schedule.

Schedule.

Area	Rules of the Defence of India Rules.
1. Japan	97, 98, 99, 103, 104, 110, 111, 114, and 114 A.
2. Thailand	do

D. I. R. MUIR,

Joint Secretary to the Government of India.

Government of India.

Department of Commerce.

Enemy Trading.

New Delhi, the 17th November 1945.

PERMIT.

No. 93 (29)-E.T. (A)—45 With reference to sub-rules (1) and (3) of rule 104 of the Defence of India Rules, the Central Government is pleased to permit all persons to have commercial and financial dealings with the Enemy Firm of Mr. Rudolf Suschitzki, Bombay.

2. The Central Government is further pleased to direct that the trading activities of the said firm be confined to countries within the British Empire and the United States of America.

E. S. KRISHNAMOORTHY, *Dy. Secy.*

Dated 18th December 1945.

No. W. 1337—W. 78-45-2. In pursuance of sub-rule (2) of Rule 81 of the Defence of India Rules, in force in Mysore, the Government of His Highness the Maharaja are pleased to

direct that the Cargoes and Claims (Information) Order, 1940, published with Government Notification No. P. 3042—Legis. 2-40-45, dated the 12th December 1940, shall be cancelled.

4071

V. VEDAVYASACHARYA, *Chief. Secy.*

GENERAL SECRETARIAT

Dated 17th December 1945.

No. S. R. 2423 L. W.—70-45-2. Under Section 12 (4) of the Mysore Labour Act, XIII of 1942, as amended from time to time the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore are pleased to publish for general information the undermentioned report of the Chief Conciliator in Mysore regarding the failure of the conciliatory proceedings in a dispute between the Labour Association and the Management of the Mysore Iron and Steel Works, Bhadravati on the question of reinstatement of Mr. P. M. Subramanyam, whose services were terminated by the Management.

4047

MAHOMED ISMAIL SHERIFF, *Genl. Secy.*

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF CONCILIATOR IN MYSORE, BANGALORE.

No. C. C. 3—45-46, dated 6th December 1945.

From

M. Navaneetham Naidu, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Chief Conciliator in Mysore.

To

Mahomed Ismail Sheriff, Esq., B.A.,
General Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

I have the honour to make the following report, under Section 12 (4) of the Mysore Labour Act, relating to a dispute between the Mysore Iron and Steel Works Labourers' Association, Bhadravathi, and the Management of the concerned works, in regard to the demand made by this Association, asking for the reinstatement of Mr. P. N. Subramanyam, whose services were terminated with effect from 11th May 1945, by the Management, under Rule 11 (a) of the Standing Orders.

(2) The Secretary of this Labour Association gave a notice of Change on the 24th June 1945, to the General Manager of the Works, under section 10 (2) of the Act, setting forth the demand, thus :—

"Mr. P. N. Subramanyam, Foreman, Electric Furnace and Steel Foundry, Mysore Iron and Steel Works, Bhadravathi, is a member of our Association and he was also a candidate for the seat of the President at the election held recently. He was served with a memo on 10th May 1945, (Ref: No. 6923, signed by the General Manager, intimating him that his services are terminated. Neither has any reasonable cause been mentioned for the termination, nor have any allegations been made against him in the memo, as per Rule 5 (b) of the Essential Services (Emergency) Maintenance Act, an employer cannot discontinue the services of an employee without reasonable cause. Since the Essential Services (Emergency) Maintenance Act applies to the Mysore Iron and Steel Works also, the action taken by the Management is not justifiable. Hence we feel this forms an Industrial Dispute between the Employer and the employee within the meaning of Section 3 (10) of the Mysore Labour Act, 1942. This Association therefore requests that Mr. P. N. Subramanyam may be reinstated in his usual post with retrospective effect from 11th May 1945."

(3) The General Manager of the Works replied to the Association Secretary, by a letter dated 4th July 1945, thus :—

".....His (Mr. Subramanyam's) services have been terminated with ample reasonable cause,—continual grumbling, indifference and irregularity in duty positive mis-conduct and undependability. The action is fully in accordance with the Essential Services (Maintenance Act, and there can be no industrial dispute or cause for notice of change; (vide Section X Clause 14 (b) para 2 of the Standing Orders). We regret that the question of his reinstatement, therefore does not arise."

(4) The Assistant Commissioner of Labour, Mysore Division, tried but could not bring about an agreement between the parties. Thereupon, the Commissioner of Labour, referred this case to this Office for initiating conciliation proceedings under section 10 (3) of the Act.

(5) The case was accordingly registered and intimations to the parties were issued. The hearing was first fixed for the 27th September 1945, at Bangalore, and the Association Secretary in his letter of 21st September 1945, acknowledged the intimation and stated that the Vice-President and the Secretary of the Association would attend the proceedings on that day at Bangalore. Subsequently i.e., on the 22nd September 1945, the Vice-President sent a letter asking for a change of the date of hearing. A reply was sent that as the case had already been specially posted, it was not convenient to adjourn the hearing. On the date of hearing, (27th September) the General Manager of the Works was duly present, and no Representative of the Association appeared or